AMENDMENT OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>§1 AMENDMENT TO RFP NO.</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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<tr>
<td>SL255282</td>
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ISSUED BY:
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999 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20002
Phone: 202-760-4000

CONTRACTOR INFORMATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>PHONE</th>
<th>EMAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§1 AMENDMENT TO RFP NO. EFFECTIVE DATE

THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

Amendment #001 March 19, 2020

AMENDMENT OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL DATED March 19, 2020

☐ The above numbered Request for Proposal is amended as set forth in the description of Amendment listed below.

☐ The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the Request for Proposal by acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the proposal submitted. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF PROPOSAL PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by email or letter, provided each email or letter make reference to the Request for Proposal No. and this amendment, and is received prior to the closing date and time specified.

☐ DATED IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to return _________________ copies to Procurement Division.

This Amendment 001 is submitted in addition to the NeighborWorks America's Solicitation RFP SL2552821 NWSG AE10 Coaches (Achieving Excellence) The intent of this Amendment is to respond to questions that NeighborWorks America received so far.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced above remain unchanged and in full force and effect.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | Page 23 of the RFP refers to several items as excluded from the page limit. Please clarify whether submission of the following is required, and please provide any guidelines for these supplemental materials:  
--Work samples  
--requirements matrix  
--detailed project plan | None of those is required. The only parts that are required are the price proposal and the technical proposal. The technical proposal should include the 11 parts included in L.4 on pages 24-25.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 2   | Page 24 of the RFP notes that a Technical Approach is a required element of the Technical Proposal.  
--Is the technical approach included in the 12-page limit  
--Is there a sample or preferred format for the technical approach | Yes, the technical approach should be included as a part of the Technical Proposal and therefore would be included in the 12-page limit. The Technical Approach is item #2 of 11 in L.4, which outlines what should be included in the Technical Proposal.  
There is no sample or preferred format. As you prefer, you can respond section-by-section with the same headers as are in the RFP, or you could use some other format, as long as you address each item. |
| 3   | Is having a DUNS number a requirement for selection? | NO, a DUNS number is not required                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 4   | Does NW view past work experience/collaboration with existing coaches as a conflict of interest? | Absolutely not a conflict of interest—it is completely fine to have past work experiences/collaborations with other coaches.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |